

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/763,681	ATZENI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Brian J. Livedalen	2878	

All Participants:

(1) Brian J. Livedalen.

Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____

(2) Anthony Handal.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 9/21/07, 9/24/07

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 16, 17, 20, 24, and 27

Prior art documents discussed:

Cruce et al. (5981957)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner and Applicant's Representative discussed and agreed upon changes to be made to claims 1, 16, 17, 20, and 24 to cure antecedent basis problems as well as substantive changes to be made to claim 27 to overcome the prior art. Examiner and Applicant's Representative agreed to enter all changes via an Examiner's Amendment.